



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,114	12/01/2003	Adrian Meredith Sunter	IS-US030581	9931
22919 7590 04/16/2008 GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680				
EXAMINER				
WEEKS, GLORIA R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3721				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/16/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/724,114

**Applicant(s)**

SUNTER ET AL.

**Examiner**

GLORIA R. WEEKS

**Art Unit**

3721

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-20 AND 22-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendments and remarks filed on December 20, 2007.

#### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 17, 2008 has been entered.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 9-12, 14, 15, 18-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,361,560) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700).

In reference to claims 9-12, Sandolo discloses a flavoring system comprising: a measurer 10 for measuring a quantity of articles (column 3 lines 55-58); a flavoring apparatus 21A, 22A, 23A, 24A downstream of the measurer 10, wherein the quantity of flavoring is determined based on the quantity of articles measured (column 2 lines 6-9); a packager 14; and a controller (control valve) that controls the predetermined quantity of flavoring supplied by the flavoring

apparatus, wherein the quantity of articles and flavoring is measured by a predetermined flow rate. Sandolo does not disclose a check measurer.

Kammler et al. teaches a weighing and flavoring system comprising: a measurer 20 for measuring a quantity of articles (column 4 lines 22-26); a check measurer 17 downstream of the measurer 20 for weighing the articles; a packager 11; a sorting unit (column 2 lines 50-53); and a control means 31 for controlling the predetermined quantity of articles supplied by the measurer according to a value indicative of a difference between the predetermined quantity of articles and the actual quantity of articles provided as measured by the check measurer 17. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the flavoring system of Sandolo to include a check measurer, sorting unit and control means, since column 1 lines 46-50 of Kammler et al. states that such a modification ensures that an exact quantity of flowable products are dispensed, insufficiently filled packages are not shipped to customers, and proper adjustments can be made to subsequent packages based on the determined weight of the mixture and the packaging.

With respect to claims 14, 15, 18, 20 and 23, Sandolo discloses a method and apparatus comprising: providing a weigher 10; providing an additive dispenser 21A, 22A, 23A, 24A; providing a vertical form, fill and seal packaging machine 14; a mixer 15; and providing a controller (control valve) that controls the additive dispenser 4621A, 22A, 23A, 24A. Sandolo does not disclose a check weigher.

Kammler et al. teaches a weighing and flavoring system comprising: a measurer 20 for measuring a quantity of articles (column 4 lines 22-26); a check measurer 17 downstream of the measurer 20 for weighing the articles; a packager 11; a sorting unit (column 2 lines 50-53); and a

controller 31 for controlling the predetermined quantity of articles supplied by the measurer in a subsequent control cycle in response to an output of the combined weight of the package and the articles in a current control cycle (column 2 lines 6-22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the flavoring system of Sandolo to include a check measurer and control means, since column 1 lines 46-50 of Kammler et al. states that such a modification ensures that an exact quantity of flowable products are dispensed, insufficiently filled packages are not shipped to customers, and proper adjustments can be made to subsequent packages based on the determined weight of the mixture and the packaging.

Regarding claim 19, Sandolo '560 discloses an apparatus comprising a weigher with a bulk hopper 11 and an additive dispenser including a gas transport 30, but does not disclose the additive dispenser having a bulk hopper. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the additive dispenser of Sandolo '560 to include a bulk hopper, since column 3 line 40-column 4 line 7 of Sandolo '283 suggests that such a modification effectively mixes the additive substance dispense from the additive dispenser with a secondary substance while guiding the mixture of the additive substance and the secondary substance in to a package.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,361,560) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700) as applied to claim 9, and further in view of Parliament et al. (USPN 3,655,397).

Regarding claim 13, Sandolo discloses different types of flavoring (column 1 lines 67-68) dispensed from the flavoring apparatus. Parliament et al. teaches liquid flavoring (column 4

lines 50-51) having different concentrations (column 5 lines 24-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the flavoring apparatus such that the predetermined flavoring supplied varies based on the type of flavoring supplied, since Parliament et al. suggests that different concentrations of flavoring are desirable.

6. Claims 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,361,560) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700) as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Sandolo (USPN 5,690,283).

7. With respect to claim 16, Sandolo '560 discloses a mixer 15 that aids in the combination of the articles from a weigher with an additive dispensed from an additive dispenser, but neither discloses an Archimedean screw nor a combinational weigher. Sandolo '283 teaches an apparatus comprising: a combinational weigher 13 (column 4 lines 22-30); an additive dispenser 46 including a hopper 35; a packaging machine (column 4 lines 47-49); a mixer including an Archimedean screw 38; and a controller 50 that controls the additive dispenser 46 in response to an output from the weigher 18 of a quantity of articles supplied to the additive dispenser 46. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the mixer of Sandolo '560 to include an Archimedean screw, since column 3, lines 48-52 of Sandolo '283 states that such a modification effectively mixes an additive with a product.

8. Claims 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,361,560) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700) as applied to claims 14 and 20 above, and further in view of Nakamura et al. (USPN 6,301,859).

In reference to claims 17 and 22, Sandolo discloses a weigher that dispenses a product for packaging, but does not disclose a combinational weigher. Nakamura et al. teaches vertical

form, fill, and seal packaging system, wherein product is provided for packaging from a combinational weigher. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the system of Sandolo to include a combinational weigher, since column 1 lines 25-55 of Nakamura et al. state that such a modification provides effective distribution of product by allowing a predetermined weight of product to be dispensed from the weigher within a predetermined tolerance.

9. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,690,283) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700) as applied to claims 14 and 20 above, and further in view of Dove et al. (USPN 6,953,004).

With respect to claim 25, Sandolo disclose a method of flavoring and packaging food, but does not disclose the food to specifically be potato chips. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Sandolo to include the food item of potato chips, since Dove et al. teaches that it is well known in the art to flavor and package potato chips.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

10. Claims 1-8 are allowed.

***Response to Arguments***

11. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to independent claims 9, 14 and 20 on December 20, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

12. Applicant has argued that while Kammler et al. discloses a sorting unit, the sorting unit of Kammler et al. fails to sort the packaged products into a plurality of ranks for acceptable product. Examiner has found Kammler to sort the packaged products into a plurality of ranks for

acceptable products, wherein the plurality of ranks include sufficiently filled packaged and insufficiently filled packages. Therefore, Examiner has maintained the rejection of claims 9, 14 and 20 in view of Sandolo '560 and Kammler et al.

### ***Conclusion***

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GLORIA R. WEEKS whose telephone number is (571)272-4473. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Art Unit: 3721

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199

/Gloria R. Weeks/

Examiner, Art Unit 3721

/Rinaldi I Rada/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit  
3721

April 16, 2008

Art Unit: 3721

Berg discloses a flavoring system comprising: a measurer 15 for measuring a quantity of articles (column 4 lines 62-66); a flavoring apparatus 28 downstream of the measurer 15, wherein the quantity of flavoring is determined based on the quantity of articles measured (column 5 lines 1-6); a packager; and a control means (column 7 lines 26-35) for controlling the predetermined quantity of articles supplied by the measurer, wherein the quantity of articles and flavoring is measured by a predetermined flow rate. Berg does not disclose a check measurer

In response to the arguments of independent claims 14 and 20, Applicant has argued that neither Sandolo '283 nor Kammler et al. disclose adjusting the quantity of additive as recited in the respective claims. Examiner disagrees, as column 2 lines 12-15 of Kammler et al. states that the disclosed controller adjusts the amount of substance dosed in a subsequent control cycle based on the weight of a package containing a substance in a previous cycle for the purpose of corrected any inaccuracies of substance dosed from the device.